arXiv:1407.3972v1 [math-ph] 15 Jul 2014

THE SHARP ESTIMATES OF EIGENVALUES OF POLYHARMONIC OPERATOR AND HIGHER ORDER STOKES OPERATOR

DAGUANG CHEN AND HE-JUN SUN

Abstract. In this paper, we establish some lower bounds for the sums of eigenvalues of the polyharmonic operator and higher order Stokes operator, which are sharper than the recent results in [6, 11]. At the same time, we obtain some certain bounds for the sums of positive and negative powers of eigenvalues of the polyharmonic operator.

1. Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded domain in an n-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$). The Dirichlet eigenvalue problem of the polyharmonic operator is described by

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^l u = \lambda u, & \text{on } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega} = \cdots = \frac{\partial^{l-1} u}{\partial \nu^{l-1}}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where Δ is the Laplacian and ν denotes the outward unit normal vector field of $\partial\Omega$. As we known, this problem has a real and discrete spectrum:

$$0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_k \leq \cdots \to \infty,$$

where each eigenvalue repeats with its multiplicity.

When $l = 1$, problem (1.1) is called the Dirichlet Laplacian problem or the fixed membrane problem. The asymptotic behavior of its k-th eigenvalue λ_k relates to geometric properties of Ω when $k \to \infty$. In fact, the following Weyl's asymptotic formula asserts that

$$\lambda_k \sim C_n \left(\frac{k}{|\Omega|}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}}, \quad \text{as } k \to \infty, \tag{1.2}$$

where $C_n = 4\pi\Gamma(1 + \frac{n}{2})^{\frac{2}{n}}$ and $|\Omega|$ denote the volume of Ω. Here $\Gamma(m)$ denotes the Gamma function $\Gamma(m) = \int_0^\infty t^{m-1} e^{-t} dt$ for $m > 0$. In 1961, Pólya proved in [22] that

$$\lambda_k \geq C_n \left(\frac{k}{|\Omega|}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \tag{1.3}$$

for tilling domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, he conjectured that (1.3) holds for any bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$. There have been some results in this direction. In 1980, Lieb [18] proved

$$\lambda_k \geq \tilde{C}_n \left(\frac{k}{|\Omega|}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}},$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35P15.

Key words and phrases. eigenvalue, Polyharmonic operator, higher order Stokes operator.

where $\tilde{C}_n$ differs from C_n in (1.3) by a factor. In 1983, Li and Yau [17] proved

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k}\lambda_j \geq 4\pi\frac{n}{n+2}\left(\frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{2})}{|\Omega|}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}}k^{1+\frac{2}{n}}. \tag{1.4}$$

It has been pointed out in [15] that by using the Legendre transform, (1.4) is equivalent to the inequality derived by Berezin [4]. Hence, (1.4) is also called the Berezin-Li-Yau inequality. Using the similar approach, Kröger [12] has obtained the sharp upper bound for the Neumann eigenvalues. Improvements to the Berezin–Li–Yau inequality in (1.4) for the case of Dirichlet Laplacian have appeared recently (for example, see [13, 19, 27]). In particular, Melas [19] improved (1.4) to

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k}\lambda_j \geq 4\pi\frac{n}{n+2}\left(\frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{2})}{|\Omega|}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}}k^{1+\frac{2}{n}}+\frac{1}{24(n+2)}\frac{|\Omega|}{I(\Omega)}k, \tag{1.5}$$

where $I(\Omega)=\min\limits_{a\in\mathbb{R}^n}\int_\Omega|x-a|^2dx$ is the moment of inertia of Ω and a is a constant vector in $\mathbb{R}^n$. In 2010, Ilyin [10] obtained the following asymptotic lower bound for eigenvalues of this problem:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k}\lambda_j \geq 4\pi\frac{n}{n+2}\left(\frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{2})}{|\Omega|}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}}k^{1+\frac{2}{n}}+\frac{n}{48}\frac{|\Omega|}{I(\Omega)}k\Big(1-\varepsilon_n(k)\Big), \tag{1.6}$$

where $0\leq\varepsilon_n(k)=O(k^{-\frac{2}{n}})$ is a infinitesimal of $k^{-\frac{2}{n}}$. In 2013, Y. Yolcu and T. Yolcu [28] proved that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=1}^{k}\lambda_j \geq& 4\pi\frac{n}{n+2}\left(\frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{2})}{|\Omega|}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}}k^{1+\frac{2}{n}}+\frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{n+2}\left(\frac{|\Omega|}{I(\Omega)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{2})}{|\Omega|}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}\\&-\frac{5}{8(n+2)}\frac{|\Omega|}{I(\Omega)}k+\frac{1}{16\sqrt{\pi}(n+2)}\left(\frac{|\Omega|}{I(\Omega)}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{2})}{|\Omega|}\right)^{-\frac{1}{n}}k^{1-\frac{1}{n}}.\end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

When $l=2$, problem (1.1) is called the clamped plate problem. For the developments of eigenvalues of the clamped plate problem, we refer the readers to [1, 5, 7, 10, 11, 16, 21, 29].

For any order l, Levine and Protter [16] proved

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k}\lambda_j \geq (4\pi)^l\frac{n}{n+2l}\left(\frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{2})}{|\Omega|}\right)^{\frac{2l}{n}}k^{1+\frac{2l}{n}}. \tag{1.8}$$

Recently, Cheng, Sun, Wei and Zeng [6] proved (see also Theorem 3 in [11])

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=1}^{k}\lambda_j \geq&(4\pi)^l\frac{n}{n+2l}\left(\frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{2})}{|\Omega|}\right)^{\frac{2l}{n}}k^{1+\frac{2l}{n}}\\&+(4\pi)^{l-1}\frac{nl}{48}\left(\frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{2})}{|\Omega|}\right)^{\frac{2l-2}{n}}\frac{|\Omega|}{I(\Omega)}k^{\frac{2l-2}{n}}\left(1-\varepsilon_n(k)\right),\end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

where $0\leq\varepsilon_n(k)=O(k^{-\frac{2}{n}})$ is a infinitesimal of $k^{-\frac{2}{n}}$.

In this article, we obtain the following estimates for the sum of eigenvalues of proplem 1.1.

Theorem 1.1. *For any bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n (n \geq 2), k \geq 1$ and $1 \leq l < \frac{n+1}{2}$, the eigenvalues of (1.1) satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j \geq & \frac{n(4\pi)^l}{n+2l}\left(\frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{2})}{|\Omega|}\right)^{\frac{2l}{n}} k^{1+\frac{2l}{n}} + \frac{(4\pi)^{\frac{2l-1}{2}} l}{(n+2l)I(\Omega)^{\frac{1}{2}}}|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2l-1}{n}}\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{2})^{\frac{2l-1}{n}} k^{1+\frac{2l-1}{n}} \\ & - \frac{5(4\pi)^{l-1} l}{8(n+2l)I(\Omega)}\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{2})^{\frac{2(l-1)}{n}}|\Omega|^{1-\frac{2l-2}{n}} k^{1+\frac{2l-2}{n}} \\ & + \frac{(4\pi)^{\frac{2l-3}{2}} l}{8(n+2l)I(\Omega)^{\frac{3}{2}}}|\Omega|^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{(2l-3)}{n}}\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{2})^{\frac{(2l-3)}{n}} k^{1+\frac{2l-3}{n}}.\end{aligned} \tag{1.10}$$

Remark 1.2. *Theorem (1.1) is a generalization of (1.7) for problem (1.1). The inequality (1.10) give an improvement for (1.9).*

Motivated by the work of [11, 26, 29], we obtain the following estimates of the negative and positive power of eigenvalues of problem 1.1:

Theorem 1.3. *For $0 < q \leq 1$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $2 \leq n$, the sums of positive powers of eigenvalues of the polyharmonic Laplacian problem (1.1) on Ω satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j^q \geq & (4\pi)^{lq}\frac{n}{n+2lq}\left(\frac{\Gamma(1+n/2)}{|\Omega|}\right)^{\frac{2lq}{n}} k^{1+\frac{2lq}{n}} \\ & + (4\pi)^{lq-1}\frac{nlq}{48}\frac{|\Omega|}{I(\Omega)}\left(\frac{\Gamma(1+n/2)}{|\Omega|}\right)^{\frac{2lq-2}{n}} k^{1+\frac{2lq-2}{n}} + O(k^{1+\frac{2lq-4}{n}}).\end{aligned} \tag{1.11}$$

Corollary 1.4. *For $0 < q \leq 1$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $2 \leq n$, the sums of positive powers of eigenvalues of the polyharmonic Laplacian problem (1.1) on Ω satisfy*

$$\sum_{j}^{k} \lambda_j^q \geq \frac{n}{n+2lq}(4\pi)^{\frac{lq}{n}}\left(\frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{2})}{|\Omega|}\right)^{\frac{2lq}{n}} k^{1+\frac{2lq}{n}}. \tag{1.12}$$

Theorem 1.5. *For $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $2 \leq n$ and $0 < p < \frac{n}{2l}$, the sums of negative powers of eigenvalues of the polyharmonic Laplacian problem (1.1) on Ω satisfy*

$$\sum_{j}^{k} \lambda_j^{-p} \leq (4\pi)^{-\frac{lq}{n}}\frac{n}{n-2lq}\left(\frac{|\Omega|}{\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{2})}\right)^{\frac{lq}{n}} k^{1-\frac{2lq}{n}}. \tag{1.13}$$

Remark 1.6. *The coefficients of $k^{1+\frac{2lq}{n}}$ (rep. $k^{1+\frac{2lq-2}{n}}$) appeared in the inequalities (1.11) and (1.12)(rep. (1.13)) are the best possible from the Weyl's asymptotic formula. Moreover, Corollary 1.4 and Theorem 1.5 generalize the eigenvalue estimates of the Dirichlet Laplacian problem ($l = 1$ in (1.1)) in [26] and the clamped plate problem ($l = 2$ in (1.1)) [29] repectively.*

Another work of this paper is to consider the following eigenvalue problem defined by

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^l \mathbf{u}_k + \nabla p_k = \mu_k \mathbf{u}_k, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_k = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \mathbf{u}_k|_{\partial\Omega} = \cdots = \dfrac{\partial^{l-1} \mathbf{u}_k}{\partial \nu^{l-1}}\Big|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.14}$$

where $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and Ω is a bounded domain with smooth boundary in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

For $l = 1$, (1.14) is the eigenvalue problem of the classical Stokes operator. Li-Yau type lower bounds for the eigenvalues of the classical Stokes operator were obtained in [9]:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k} \mu_k \geq 4\pi \frac{n}{n+2} \left(\frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{2})}{(n-1)|\Omega|} \right)^{2/n} k^{1+2/n}. \tag{1.15}$$

The coefficient of $k^{1+2/n}$ in (1.15) is sharp in view of the asymptotic formula (cf. [2] when $n = 3$ and [20] when $n \geq 2$)

$$\mu_k \sim \left(\frac{(2\pi)^n}{\omega_n (n-1)|\Omega|} \right)^{2/n} k^{2/n}, \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty. \tag{1.16}$$

Based on Melas's approach in [19], Ilyin [10] proved

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k} \mu_k \geq 4\pi \frac{n}{n+2} \left(\frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{2})}{(n-1)|\Omega|} \right)^{2/n} k^{1+2/n} + \frac{(n-1)}{48} \frac{|\Omega|}{I(\Omega)} k \left(1 - \varepsilon_n(k)\right), \tag{1.17}$$

where $0 \leq \varepsilon_n(k) = O(k^{-2/n})$. In 2012, Y. Yolcu and T. Yolcu [28] obtained

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{k} \mu_k \geq & 4\pi \frac{n}{n+2} \left(\frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{2})}{(n-1)|\Omega|} \right)^{2/n} k^{1+2/n} + \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{n+2} \left(\frac{n-1}{n} \frac{|\Omega|}{I(\Omega)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{2})}{(n-1)|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} k^{1+\frac{1}{n}} \\ & - \frac{5(n-1)}{8n(n+2)} \frac{|\Omega|}{I(\Omega)} k + \frac{1}{16\sqrt{\pi}(n+2)} \left(\frac{n-1}{n} \frac{|\Omega|}{I(\Omega)} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{2})}{(n-1)|\Omega|} \right)^{-\frac{1}{n}} k^{1-\frac{1}{n}}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.18}$$

For the eigenvalue problem (1.14), Ilyin [11] proved

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{k} \mu_k \geq & (4\pi)^l \frac{n}{n+2} \left(\frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{2})}{(n-1)|\Omega|} \right)^{2/n} k^{1+\frac{2l}{n}} \\ & + (4\pi)^{l-1} \frac{l}{48} \frac{(n-1)|\Omega|}{I(\Omega)} \left(\frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{2})}{(n-1)|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{2l-2}{n}} k^{1+\frac{2-2l}{n}} (1 + \varepsilon_n(k)), \end{aligned} \tag{1.19}$$

where $0 \leq \varepsilon_n(k) = O(k^{-\frac{2}{n}})$ is a infinitesimal of $k^{-\frac{2}{n}}$.

Theorem 1.7. *For any bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n (n \geq 2), k \geq 1$ and $1 \leq l < \frac{n+1}{2}$, the eigenvalues of (1.14) satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=1}^{k} \mu_j \geq & \frac{n(4\pi)^l}{n+2l}\left(\frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{2})}{(n-1)|\Omega|}\right)^{\frac{2l}{n}} k^{1+\frac{2l}{n}} + \frac{(4\pi)^{\frac{2l-1}{2}} l}{(n+2l)}\left(\frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{2})}{(n-1)|\Omega|}\right)^{\frac{2l-1}{n}}\left(\frac{(n-1)|\Omega|}{nI(\Omega)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} k^{1+\frac{2l-1}{n}} \\ & - \frac{5(n-1)(4\pi)^{l-1} l}{8n(n+2l)}\left(\frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{2})}{(n-1)|\Omega|}\right)^{\frac{2l-2}{n}} \frac{|\Omega|}{I(\Omega)} k^{1+\frac{2l-2}{n}} \\ & + \frac{(4\pi)^{\frac{2l-3}{2}} l}{8(n+2l)}\left(\frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{2})}{(n-1)|\Omega|}\right)^{\frac{2l-3}{n}}\left(\frac{(n-1)|\Omega|}{nI(\Omega)}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} k^{1+\frac{2l-3}{n}}.\end{aligned} \tag{1.20}$$

Remark 1.8. *Comparing (1.20) with (1.19), one can find that there exists extra term containing $k^{1+\frac{2l-1}{n}}$ in (1.20). Therefore, the inequality (1.20) is more precise than the estimate (1.19) under the assumptions of Theorem 1.7. Moreover, it is easy to find that (1.20) is a generalization form of (1.18) for problem (1.14).*

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Polyharmonic operator.** Assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ $(n \geq 2)$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $I(\Omega) = \min_{a\in\mathbb{R}^n} \int_\Omega |x-a|^2 dx$ is the moment of inertia of Ω and a is a constant vector in $\mathbb{R}^n$. By translating the open set Ω if necessary, we may assume that the moment of inertia $I(\Omega)$ is given by

$$I(\Omega) = \int_\Omega |x|^2 dx.$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \frac{|\Omega|}{(2\pi)^n}, \qquad L = \frac{2\sqrt{|\Omega| I(\Omega)}}{(2\pi)^n}, \\ M_S &= \frac{(n-1)|\Omega|}{(2\pi)^n}, \qquad L_S = \frac{2(n(n-1))^{1/2}\sqrt{|\Omega| I(\Omega)}}{(2\pi)^n}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Let u_j be an orthonormal eigenfuction corresponding to the j-th eigenvalue λ_j of problem (1.1). Denote by $\widehat{u}_j(\xi)$ the Fourier transform of $u_j(x)$, which is defined by

$$\hat{u}_j(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_\Omega u_j(x) e^{ix\cdot\xi} dx. \tag{2.2}$$

Set

$$F(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} |\hat{u}_j(\xi)|^2. \tag{2.3}$$

From [6, 11], we have

Lemma 2.1. *The function $F(\xi)$ defined by (2.3) satisfies*

$$F(\xi) \leq M, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} F(\xi)d\xi = k, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} |\nabla \hat{u}_j(\xi)|^2 \leq \frac{I(\Omega)}{(2\pi)^n}, \tag{2.6}$$

$$|\nabla F(\xi)| \leq L, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\frac{n}{n+2}\left(\frac{|\Omega|}{\omega_n}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \leq \frac{I(\Omega)}{|\Omega|} \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\frac{|\Omega|^{1+\frac{1}{n}}}{(2\pi)^n \omega_n^{\frac{1}{n}}} \leq L. \tag{2.9}$$

Let $F^*(\xi)$ denote the decreasing radial rearrangement of $F(\xi)$. Therefore, by approximating $F(\xi)$, we may assume that there exists a real valued absolutely continuous function $\phi : [0, \infty) \longrightarrow [0, M]$ such that $F^*(\xi) = \phi(|\xi|)$. From [6, 11], we have

Lemma 2.2. *The function $\phi(s)$ satisfies*

$$n\omega_n \int_0^\infty t^{n-1}\phi(t)dt = k, \tag{2.10}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j \geq n\omega_n \int_0^\infty t^{n+2l-1}\phi(t)dt \tag{2.11}$$

and

$$0 \leq -\phi(t) \leq L. \tag{2.12}$$

2.2. **Stokes operator with higher order.** We firstly recall the functional definition of the Stokes operator [8, 14, 25] and its generalization [11]. Let $\mathcal{V}$ denote the set of smooth divergence-free vector functions with compact supports

$$\mathcal{V} = \{\mathbf{u} : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n,\ \mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{C}_0^\infty(\Omega),\ \operatorname{div}\mathbf{u} = 0\}.$$

Let L and V denote the the closures of $\mathcal{V}$ in $\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)$ and $\mathbf{H}_0^l(\Omega)$ $(1 \leq l \in \mathbb{N})$ respectively. Moreover, we note that $\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)$ can be written as $\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega) = L \oplus L^\perp$ (see for instance, [25]), where

$$\begin{aligned} L =& \{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{L}_2(\Omega) | \operatorname{div}\mathbf{u} = 0, \mathbf{u} \cdot \nu|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}, \\ L^\perp =& \{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{L}_2(\Omega) | \mathbf{u} = \nabla p, p \in L_2^{loc}(\Omega)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Define the operator $A : V \longrightarrow V'$ by

$$(A\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})_{V' \times V} := ((-\Delta)^{\frac{l}{2}}\mathbf{u}, (-\Delta)^{\frac{l}{2}}\mathbf{v})$$

for $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in V$. The operator A is an isomorphism between V and V'. For a sufficient smooth $\mathbf{u}$, we have

$$A\mathbf{u} = P(-\Delta)^l\mathbf{u}, \tag{2.14}$$

where P is orthogonal projection mapping $\mathbf{L}_2(\Omega)$ to L, i.e. $P : \mathbf{L}_2(\Omega) \longrightarrow L$. For $l = 1$, (2.14) corresponds to the classical Stokes operator. The operator A is a self-adjoint positive definite operator with the following discrete spectrum

$$A\mathbf{u}_j = \mu_j \mathbf{u}_j, \qquad 0 < \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \cdots,$$

where $\{\mathbf{u}_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \in V$ are the orthonormal vector eigenfunctions and

$$\mu_j = \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{l}{2}} \mathbf{u}_j\|^2.$$

For the orthonormal family $\{\mathbf{u}_k\}_{k=1}^{k} \in L$, we set

$$F_{\mathrm{S}}(\xi) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} |\hat{\mathbf{u}}_k(\xi)|^2, \tag{2.15}$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_k(\xi)$ is the Fourier transform of $\mathbf{u}_j(x)$ defined by

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}_j(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}_j(x) e^{ix\cdot\xi} dx. \tag{2.16}$$

Lemma 2.3. *The function $F_{\mathrm{S}}(\xi)$ defined by (2.15) satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} &0 \leq F_{\mathrm{S}}(\xi) \leq M_{\mathrm{S}}, \\ &|\nabla F_{\mathrm{S}}(\xi)| \leq L_{\mathrm{S}}, \\ &\int F_{\mathrm{S}}(\xi)\, d\xi = k, \\ &\int |\xi|^{2l} F_{\mathrm{S}}(\xi)\, d\xi = \sum_{k=1}^{k} \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{l}{2}} \boldsymbol{u}_j\|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{k} \mu_j. \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Supposing that $F_{\mathrm{S}}^*(\xi)$ denotes the decreasing radial rearrangement of $F_{\mathrm{S}}(\xi)$, by approximating $F_{\mathrm{S}}(\xi)$, we may infer that there exists a real valued absolutely continuous function $\phi_{\mathrm{S}} : [0, \infty) \longrightarrow [0, M_{\mathrm{S}}]$ such that $F_{\mathrm{S}}(\xi) = \phi_{\mathrm{S}}(|\xi|)$. Form [11], we have

Lemma 2.4. *The function $\phi_{\mathrm{S}}(s)$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} &n\omega_n \int_0^{\infty} t^{n-1} \phi_{\mathrm{S}}(t) dt = k, \\ &n\omega_n \int_0^{\infty} t^{n+2l-1} \phi_{\mathrm{S}}(t) dt \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k} \mu_j, \\ &0 \leq -\phi_{\mathrm{S}}(t) \leq L_{\mathrm{S}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

3. Some Lemmas

In order to prove our main results, we first establish the following lemmas which are motivated by Melas' work in [19].

Lemma 3.1. *For $l \in \mathbb{N}, 2 \leq n$, two positive real numbers s and t, we have the following inequalities*

$$nt^{n+2l} - (n+2l)t^n s^{2l} + 2ls^{n+2l} \geq \left(2ls^{n+2l-2} + 4lts^{n+2l-3}\right)(s-t)^2. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. By some direct calculation, for $y > 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ny^{n+2l} - (n+2l)y^n + 2l =& (y-1)^2 \left(n \sum_{j=2}^{2l} (j-1) y^{n+2l-j} + 2l \sum_{j=1}^{n} (n-j+1) y^{n-j} \right) \\ =& (y-1)^2 \left(n \sum_{j=2}^{2l} (j-1) y^{n+2l-j} + 2l \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} (n-j+1) y^{n-j} \right) \\ &+ (2l + 4ly)(y-1)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & ny^{n+2l} - (n+2l)y^n + 2l - (2l+4ly)(y-1)^2 \\ =& (y-1)^2 \left(n \sum_{j=2}^{2l} (j-1) y^{n+2l-j} + 2l \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} (n-j+1) y^{n-j} \right) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $y = \frac{t}{s}$ in above formula, we get (3.1). □

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that* $\beta : [0, \infty) \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ *such that*

$$\int_0^\infty \beta(t)dt = 1, \int_0^\infty t^n \beta(t)dt < \infty, \int_0^\infty t^{n+2l} \beta(t)dt < \infty. \tag{3.2}$$

Then, there exists $\delta \geq 0$ *such that*

$$\int_\delta^{\delta+1} t^n dt = \int_0^\infty t^n \beta(t)dt \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\int_\delta^{\delta+1} t^{n+2l} dt \leq \int_0^\infty t^{n+2l} \beta(t)dt. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. Note that

$$(t^n - 1)(\beta(t) - \chi_{[0,1]}(t)) \geq 0, \qquad \text{on} \quad [0, \infty). \tag{3.5}$$

Integrating (3.5) over $[0, \infty)$, it gives

$$\int_0^\infty t^n \beta(t)dt \geq \int_0^1 t^n = \frac{1}{n+1}. \tag{3.6}$$

Therefore, there exists $\delta \geq 0$ such that (3.3) holds.

According to Cramer's rule, we can find two positive number a_1 anda_2 such that the function

$$q(t) = t^{n+2l} - a_1 t^n + a_2 \tag{3.7}$$

satisfies $q(\delta) = q(\delta + 1) = 0$. Since $q'(s)$ has at most one zero in $[0, \infty)$, we conclude that

$$q(s) \begin{cases} < 0, & \text{in } (\delta, \delta+1), \\ > 0, & \text{in } [0, \infty)/[\delta, \delta+1]. \end{cases}$$

The assumptions on $\beta(t)$ imply that

$$q(t)(\chi_{[\delta,\delta+1]}(t) - \beta(t)) \leq 0, \qquad \text{on } [0, \infty). \tag{3.8}$$

Integrating the inequality (3.8), taking into account the choice of δ and using (3.5), we have (3.4).

□

Lemma 3.3. *Let $n \geq 2, D, A > 0$ be contants and $\psi : [0,\infty) \longrightarrow [0,\infty)$ be a decreasing and absolutely continuous function such that*

$$-D \leq \psi'(t) \leq 0 \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty t^{n-1}\psi(t)dt = A. \tag{3.10}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty t^{n+2l-1}\psi(t)dt \geq & \frac{1}{n+2l}(nA)^{1+\frac{2l}{n}}\psi(0)^{-\frac{2l}{n}} + \frac{2l\varepsilon}{n(n+2l)}(nA)^{1+\frac{2l-1}{n}}\psi(0)^{1-\frac{2l-1}{n}}D^{-1} \\ & - \frac{5l}{2n(n+2l)}(nA)^{1+\frac{2l-2}{n}}\psi(0)^{2-\frac{2l-2}{n}}D^{-2} + \frac{\varepsilon l}{n(n+2l)}(nA)^{1+\frac{2l-3}{n}}\psi(0)^{3-\frac{2l-3}{n}}D^{-3},\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where $\tau \in (0,1]$ and $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$.

Proof. We assume that $B = \int_0^\infty t^{n+2l-1}\psi(t)dt < \infty$. Otherwise there is nothing to prove. Define

$$S(t) = \frac{1}{\psi(0)}\psi\left(\frac{\psi(0)}{L}t\right) \qquad \text{and} \qquad h(t) = -S'(t), \qquad t \geq 0. \tag{3.12}$$

Note that $S(0) = 1$, $0 \leq h(t) \leq 1$ and $\int_0^\infty h(t)dt = S(0) = 1$. Now we define

$$\tilde{A} = \int_0^\infty t^{n-1}S(t)dt \tag{3.13}$$

and

$$\tilde{B} = \int_0^\infty t^{n+2l-1}S(t)dt. \tag{3.14}$$

Using the similar arguments as in [28], we can infer

$$\liminf_{t\longrightarrow\infty} t^{n+2l}S(t) = 0.$$

Moreover, it is not difficult to observe that $\liminf_{t\longrightarrow\infty} t^n S(t) = 0$ as well. Using the integration by parts, we have

$$\int_0^\infty t^n h(t)dt = n\tilde{A}$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty t^{n+2l}h(t)dt = (n+2l)\tilde{B}.$$

Since $h(t)$ satisfy the conditions in Lemma 3.2, there exists $\delta \geq 0$ such that

$$\int_\delta^{\delta+1} t^n dt = n\tilde{A} \tag{3.15}$$

and

$$\int_\delta^{\delta+1} t^{n+2l}dt \leq \int_0^\infty t^{n+2l}h(t)dt = (n+2l)\tilde{B}. \tag{3.16}$$

Making use of the Jensen's inequality, we derive

$$\frac{1}{2^n} \le n\tilde{A}.$$

By Lemma 3.1, integrating (3.1) in t from δ to $\delta+1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} n\int_\delta^{\delta+1} t^{n+2l}dt \ge &(n+2l)s^{2l}\int_\delta^{\delta+1} t^n dt - 2ls^{n+2l} + 2ls^{n+2l-2}\int_\delta^{\delta+1}(t-s)^2dt \\ &+ 4ls^{n+2l-3}\int_\delta^{\delta+1} t(t-s)^2dt. \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Since

$$\int_\delta^{\delta+1}(t-s)^2dt \ge \frac{1}{12}$$

and

$$\int_\delta^{\delta+1} t(t-s)^2dt \ge \frac{1}{2}s^2 - \frac{2}{3}s + \frac{1}{4},$$

setting $s = (n\tilde{A})^{\frac{1}{n}}$, we obtain

$$n(n+2l)\tilde{B} \ge n(n\tilde{A})^{1+\frac{2l}{n}} + 2l(n\tilde{A})^{1+\frac{2l-1}{n}} - \frac{5}{2}l(n\tilde{A})^{1+\frac{2l-2}{n}} + l(n\tilde{A})^{1+\frac{2l-3}{n}} \tag{3.18}$$

by using (3.15) and (3.16). Finally, by the definitions of (3.12) and (3.13), we get (3.11). □

4. Proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.7

Proof of Theorem 1.1. From Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{k}\lambda_j \ge &\frac{n}{n+2l}\omega_n^{-\frac{2l}{n}}\psi(0)^{-\frac{2l}{n}}k^{1+\frac{2l}{n}} + \frac{2l\varepsilon}{(n+2l)L}\omega_n^{-\frac{2l-1}{n}}\psi(0)^{1-\frac{2l-1}{n}}k^{1+\frac{2l-1}{n}} \\ &- \frac{5l}{2(n+2l)L^2}\omega_n^{-\frac{2l-2}{n}}\psi(0)^{2-\frac{2l-2}{n}}k^{1+\frac{2l-2}{n}} + \frac{\varepsilon l}{(n+2l)L^3}\omega_n^{-\frac{2l-3}{n}}\psi(0)^{3-\frac{2l-3}{n}}k^{1+\frac{2l-3}{n}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Now define two functions

$$F_1(x) = c_1x^{-\frac{2l}{n}} + c_2x^{1-\frac{2l-1}{n}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad F_2(x) = c_3x^{3-\frac{2l-3}{n}} - c_4x^{2-\frac{2l-2}{n}}, \tag{4.2}$$

for $x \in \left(0, \frac{|\Omega|}{(2\pi)^n}\right]$, where the constants

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= \frac{n}{n+2l}\omega_n^{-\frac{2l}{n}}k^{1+\frac{2l}{n}}, & c_2 &= \frac{2l\varepsilon}{(n+2l)L}\omega_n^{-\frac{2l-1}{n}}k^{1+\frac{2l-1}{n}}, \\ c_3 &= \frac{\varepsilon l}{(n+2l)L^3}\omega_n^{-\frac{2l-3}{n}}k^{1+\frac{2l-3}{n}}, & c_4 &= \frac{5l}{2(n+2l)L^2}\omega_n^{-\frac{2l-2}{n}}k^{1+\frac{2l-2}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

For $1 \le l < \frac{n+1}{2}$, $F_1(x)$ is decreasing if $0 < x \le \left(\frac{lc_1}{(n-2l+1)c_2}\right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}}$ and $F_2(x)$ is decreasing if $x \le \left(\frac{(2n+2-2l)c_4}{(3n+3-2l)c_3}\right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}}$. The function $F_1(x)+F_2(x)$ is decreasing for $x \in \left(0, \frac{|\Omega|}{(2\pi)^n}\right]$ when we have

$$\frac{|\Omega|}{(2\pi)^n} \le \min\left\{\left(\frac{lc_1}{(n-2l+1)c_2}\right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}}, \quad \left(\frac{(2n+2-2l)c_4}{(3n+3-2l)c_3}\right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}}\right\} \tag{4.3}$$

Since $k \geq 1$, by (2.9), it is sufficient to find the upper bound of ε. In fact, we have

$$\varepsilon \leq \min_{1\leq l<\frac{n+1}{2}, 2\leq n} \left\{ \frac{n}{n-2l+1}\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{2})^{\frac{2}{n}}, \frac{10(n+1-l)}{3n+3-2l}\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{2})^{\frac{2}{n}} \right\}. \tag{4.4}$$

Obviously, it holds that $\frac{n}{n-1} \leq \frac{n}{n-2l+1}$ and $\frac{1}{4} < \frac{n+1-l}{3n+3-2l}$ for $1 \leq l < \frac{n+1}{2}$ and then $\frac{n}{n-1} < \frac{5}{2}$ for $n \geq 2$. From the definition of ε in Lemma 3.3, we can choose

$$\varepsilon = \min\left\{1, \frac{n}{n-1}\Gamma(1+\frac{n}{2})^{\frac{2}{n}}\right\} = 1$$

to guarantee the function $F_1(x) + F_2(x)$ decreasing for $x \in \left(0, \frac{|\Omega|}{(2\pi)^n}\right]$. Therefore, taking $\varepsilon = 1$ and $\psi(0) = \frac{|\Omega|}{(2\pi)^n}$ in (4.1), we can infer (1.10). □

Proof of Theorem 1.7. From Lemma 3.3, we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=1}^{k}\mu_j \geq & \frac{n}{n+2l}\omega_n^{-\frac{2l}{n}}\psi(0)^{-\frac{2l}{n}}k^{1+\frac{2l}{n}} + \frac{2l\varepsilon}{(n+2l)L_S}\omega_n^{-\frac{2l-1}{n}}\psi(0)^{1-\frac{2l-1}{n}}k^{1+\frac{2l-1}{n}} \\ & - \frac{5l}{2(n+2l)L_S^2}\omega_n^{-\frac{2l-2}{n}}\psi(0)^{2-\frac{2l-2}{n}}k^{1+\frac{2l-2}{n}} + \frac{\varepsilon l}{(n+2l)L_S^3}\omega_n^{-\frac{2l-3}{n}}\psi(0)^{3-\frac{2l-3}{n}}k^{1+\frac{2l-3}{n}}.\end{aligned}$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1, we can give the proof of Theorem 1.7 by only replacing λ_j, L, M by μ_j, L_S, M_S in the proof of Theorem 1.1 respectively. So we omit its proof. □

5. Proofs of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.5

In order to prove Theorem 1.3, we need the following lemma derived by Ilyin [10, 11].

Lemma 5.1. *(Lemma 3.1 in [10]) Let*

$$\Psi_s(r) = \begin{cases} M, & \text{for} \quad 0 \leq r \leq s, \\ M - L(r-s), & \text{for} \quad s \leq r \leq s + \dfrac{M}{L}, \\ 0, & \text{for} \quad r \geq s + \dfrac{M}{L}, \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

where M, L is given by (2.1). Suppose that $\int_0^{+\infty} r^b \Psi_s(r)dr = m^*$ and $d \geq b$. Then for any decreasing and absolutely continuous function F satisfying the conditions

$$0 \leq F \leq M, \quad \int_0^{+\infty} r^b F(r)dr = m^*, \quad 0 \leq -F' \leq L, \tag{5.2}$$

the following inequality holds:

$$\int_0^{+\infty} r^d F(r)dr \geq \int_0^{+\infty} r^d \Psi_s(r)dr. \tag{5.3}$$

Now we give the proof Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Assume that $F(\xi)$ is defined as in (2.3). Define

$$R = \left(\frac{n+2lq}{n}\frac{(2\pi)^n \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^q}{|\Omega|\omega_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+2lq}} \tag{5.4}$$

and

$$Y(\xi) = \frac{|\Omega|}{(2\pi)^n}\chi_{B_R(0)}(\xi), \tag{5.5}$$

such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^{2lq} Y(\xi)d\xi = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j^q. \tag{5.6}$$

Since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\hat{u}_j(\xi)|^2 d\xi = 1$ and $x \longmapsto x^q$ is concave for $x \geq 0$ and $q \in (0, 1]$, by using the Jesen's inequality, we can derive

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j^q = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^{2l} |\hat{u}_j(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^q \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^{2lq} F(\xi)d\xi. \tag{5.7}$$

Let $F^*(\xi)$ denote the decreasing radial rearrangement of $F(\xi)$. According to the Hardy-Littlewood inequality, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^{2lq} F(\xi)d\xi \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^{2lq} F^*(\xi)d\xi. \tag{5.8}$$

By using (5.7) and (5.8), we can get

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j^q \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^{2lq} F^*(\xi)d\xi = n\omega_n \int_0^{\infty} r^{n-1+2lq} F^*(r)dr, \tag{5.9}$$

where $F^*(r) = F^*(|\xi|)$. It is easy to find $F(\xi)$ and $F^*(|\xi|)$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 5.1 according to Lemma 2.1. Therefore, we have

$$\int_0^{+\infty} r^{n-1+2lq} F^*(r)dr \geq \int_0^{+\infty} r^{n-1+2lq} \Psi_s(r)dr. \tag{5.10}$$

Using Corollary 1 in [11], we find that

$$\begin{aligned} n\omega_n \int_0^{+\infty} r^{n-1+2lq} \Psi_s(r)dr \geq & \frac{n}{n+2lq} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_n M} \right)^{\frac{2lq}{n}} n\omega_n k^{1+\frac{2lq}{n}} \\ & + \frac{2lqn}{24} \frac{M^2}{L^2} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_n M} \right)^{\frac{2lq-2}{n}} n\omega_n k^{1+\frac{2lq-2}{n}} + O(k^{1+\frac{2lq-4}{n}}) \\ = & (4\pi)^{lq} \frac{n}{n+2lq} \left(\frac{\Gamma(1+n/2)}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{2lq}{n}} k^{1+\frac{2lq}{n}} \\ & + (4\pi)^{lq-1} \frac{nlq}{48} \frac{|\Omega|}{I(\Omega)} \left(\frac{\Gamma(1+n/2)}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{2lq-2}{n}} k^{1+\frac{2lq-2}{n}} + O(k^{1+\frac{2lq-4}{n}}), \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

where we use the definitions of M and L given by (2.1). Finally, from (5.9)~(5.11), we obtain (1.11).

□

Now we give the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Define

$$\tilde{R} = \left(\frac{(n-2lp)}{n} \frac{(2\pi)^n \sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j=1}^{-p}}{|\Omega|\omega_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-2lp}} \tag{5.12}$$

and

$$\tilde{Y}(\xi) = \frac{|\Omega|}{(2\pi)^n} \chi_{B_{\tilde{R}(0)}}(\xi) \tag{5.13}$$

such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\tilde{Y}(\xi)}{|\xi|^{2lp}} d\xi = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j^{-p}. \tag{5.14}$$

Since $|\hat{u}_j(\xi)|^2 d\xi$ defines a probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$, $x \longmapsto x^{-p}$ is convex for $x > 0$ and $p > 0$, we derive

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{F(\xi)}{|\xi|^{2lp}} \geq \sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_j^{-p} \tag{5.15}$$

according to the Jensen's inequality. Similar to the arguments in Lemma 1 in [17], one can infer

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} F(\xi) d\xi \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{Y}(\xi) d\xi.$$

By using (2.5) and (5.12), we obtain (1.13). □

Remark 5.2. *The proof of Theorem 1.4 can also be given directly by using a similar approach as the proof of Theorem 1.5.*

Acknowledgements The work of the first named author was partially supported by NSFC grant No.11101234. The work of the second named author was partially supported by NSFC grant No. 11001130.

References

1. S. Agmon, *On kernels, eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of operators related to elliptic problems*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **18** (1965), 627-663.
2. K. I. Babenko, *On the asymptotic behavior of the eigenvalues of linearized Navier–Stokes equations*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 263 (1982), 521–525. English transl., Soviet Math. Dokl. **25** (1982), 359–364.
3. C. Bandle, *Isoperimetric inequalities and applications*, Pitman Monographs and Studies in Mathematics, vol. 7, Pitman, Boston, 1980.
4. F. A. Berezin, *Covariant and contravariant symbols of operators*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **37** (1972), 1134-1167.
5. Q. -M. Cheng, X. R. Qi and G. X. Wei, *A lower bound for eigenvalues of the poly-Laplacian with arbitrary order*, Pacific jour. of Math. Vol. 262, No. 1, 2013.
6. Q.-M. Cheng, H. J. Sun, G. X. Wei and L. Z. Zeng, *Estimates for lower bounds of eigenvalues of the poly-Laplacian and quadratic polynomial operator of the Laplacian*, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh 143A (2013), 1147–1162.
7. Q. -M. Cheng and G. X. Wei, *A lower bound for eigenvalues of a clamped plate problem*, Calc. Var. **42** (2011), 579-590.
8. P. Constantin and C. Foias *Navier-Stokes Equations*. The University of Chicago Press, 1988.
9. A. A. Ilyin, *On the spectrum of the Stokes operator*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.43(4) (2009), 14–25 (in Russian), Funct. Anal. Appl. 43(4) (2009), 254–263.

10. A. A. Ilyin, *Lower bounds for the spectrum of the Laplacian and Stokes operators*, Discrete Cont. Dyn. S. **28** (2010), 131-146.
11. A. A. Ilyin, *Lower bounds for sums of eigenvalues of elliptic operators and systems*, Sb. Math. 204 (2013), no. 3-4, 563–587.
12. P. Kröger, *Upper bounds for the Newmann Eigenvalues on a bounded domains in Euclidean space*, J. Funct. Anal., 106 (1992), 353–357.
13. H. Kova**u**rík, S. Vugalter and T. Weidl, *Two dimensional Berezin–Li–Yau inequalities with a correction term*, Comm. Math. Phys. 287(3) (2009) 959–981.
14. O. A. Ladyzhenskaya,*The Mathematical Theory of Viscous Incompressible Flow*, Nauka, Moscow1970; English transl. Gordon and Breach, New York, 1969.
15. A. Laptev and T. Weidl, *Recent results on Lieb-Thirring inequalities*, Journées "Équations aux Dérivées Partielles" (La Chapelle sur Erdre, 2000), Exp. No. XX, 14 pp., Univ. Nantes, Nantes, 2000.
16. H. A. Levine and M. H. Protter, *Unrestricted lower bounds for eigenvalues for classes of elliptic equations and systems of equations with applications to problems in elasticity*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. **7(2)** (1985), 210-222.
17. P. Li and S. T. Yau, *On the Schrödinger equations and the eigenvalue problem*, Comm. Math. Phys. **88** (1983), 309-318.
18. E. Lieb, *The number of bound states of one-body Schrödinger operators and the Weyl problem*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **36** (1980), 241-252.
19. A. D. Melas, *A lower bound for sums of eigenvalues of the Laplacian*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), 631-636.
20. G. Metivier, *Valeurs propres des opérateurs definis sur la restriction de systems variationnels à des sous–espases*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **57** (1978), 133–156.
21. A. Pleijel, *On the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of elastic plates*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **3** (1950), 1-10.
22. G. Pólya, *On the eigenvalues of vibrating membranes*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **11** (1961), 419-433.
23. G. Pólya and G. Szegö, *Isoperimetric inequalities in mathematical physics*, Annals of mathematics studies, number 27, Princeton university press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1951.
24. G. Talenti, *Inequalities in rearrangement-invariant function spaces*,Nonlinear Analysis, Function Spaces and Applications, Vol. 5, 177–230, Prague, Prometheus, 1995.
25. R. Temam, *Navier–Stokes Equations. Theory and Numerical Analysis*, Amsterdam, North-Holland, 1984.
26. V. Vougalter, *Sharp semiclassical bounds for the moments of eigenvalues for some Schrödinger type operators with unbounded potentials*, Math. Model. Nat. Phenom. 8 (2013), no. 1, 237–245.
27. T. Weidl, *Improved Berezin–Li–Yau inequalities with a remainder term*, in Spectral Theory of Differential Operators, American Mathematical Society Translations, Series 2, Vol. 225 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008), pp. 253– 263.
28. S. Yıldırım Yolcu and T. Yolcu, *Multidimensional lower bounds for the eigenvalues of Stokes and Dirichlet Laplacian operators*, J. Math. Phys. 53(4), 043508 (2012).
29. S. Yıldırım Yolcu and T. Yolcu, *Estimates on the eigenvalues of the clamped plate problem on domains in Euclidean spaces*, J. Math. Phys. 54, 043515, 1-13 (2013).

Daguang Chen

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084, P. R. China

E-mail: dgchen@math.tsinghua.edu.cn

He-Jun Sun

Department of Applied Mathematics, College of Science, Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Nanjing 210094, P. R. China

E-mail: hejunsun@163.com